
**CALIFORNIA VENDORS
POLICY COMMITTEE
(CVPC)**

**Meeting Minutes
May 19, 2005**

CALIFORNIA VENDORS POLICY COMMITTEE

Location: Department of Rehabilitation in Sacramento, California

Meeting commenced at 9:03 am, Thursday, May 19, 2005.

ROLL CALL

Chair Rompal called the meeting to order at 9:03 am and began the meeting by taking a roll call. Meeting is in compliance with November 1995 Motion 95.212 disclosure requirements for delegates.

There were ***eight (8) delegates*** in attendance, which satisfied the requirements of a Quorum.

Delegates in Attendance

District	Delegate	Location
District 2	Joe Murphy	Turlock Roadside Rest Area, Vending Machine Facility.
District 3	Paul Patche	Carol Miller Justice Center, 301 Bicentennial Way, Sacramento. Vending Machine Facility Interim: Post Office – vending machines
District 4	Frank Rompal, Jr.	East End Project, Sacramento Restaurant/Cafeteria Interim: Ventura County Government Center.
District 5	Michael Hatch	Corcoran State Prison
District 6	Jeana Martin-Hanlon	California State Prison, Lancaster
District 7	Al Barnes	LA County Superior Courthouse Interim: Pasadena Superior Court

District 8	Naresh Balani	Superior Courts Building, Norwalk
District 10	David Hanlon	Dave's Snack Bar in Spawar (San Diego)

Alternates in Attendance

District 1	Ivor Horsfall Alternate for Russell Kishida	San Quentin Prison
------------	---	--------------------

Janis Friesen remarked that all seats on the committee have been filled for this CVPC term. Mr. Friesen announced and welcomed the new delegates to the CVPC.

INTRODUCTION OF STAFF

John Westbrook – BEP Program Manager

Stephen Miller – Assistant Program Manager

Janis Friesen - CVPC Executive Secretary

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Each guest introduced themselves and their locations:

Lee Cole - Aliso Creek Roadside South near San Diego

Larry Marcum – Teachers Retirement in Sacramento

Roy Harmon - Aliso Creek Roadside North near San Diego

Cabral, Leonel - Gregory Bateson Bldg. in Sacramento

Gary Crocker – Erreca Roadside Rest Stop near Merced

Eric -

William Passmore - EPA Building in San Francisco

Tom Evans – BEP vendor who has a vending machine location in San Jose

Nicole Smith - contractor taking the CVPC Meeting Minutes.

MINUTES – Recommended Action

Approve the minutes of the CVPC meeting held on March 10, 2005. Responses to CVPC Motions of March 10, 2005 Meeting.

Janis Friesen confirmed that all the CVPC delegates had received the minutes and the DOR responses to the motions from the March 10, 2005 meeting.

MOTION 2005.026. Moved that the committee accept the minutes and the Responses to the motions for the March 10, 2005 California Vendors Policy Committee meeting as distributed.

Moved: Delegate Patche
Seconded: Delegate Barnes
Vote: Passed Unanimously.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS REPORTS

Report of the Chair

Chair Rompal reported that because of the urgency of this meeting, there was no scheduled meeting with Director Campisi and her staff. The CVPC needed to take a position promptly on pending state legislation that could deeply affect BEP vendors and their businesses. The Senate Bills 468 and 522 largely discussed later in the meeting were gaining strong support from state legislators.

Normally, before a scheduled CVPC meeting, the CVPC Executive Officers will meet with the DOR Director and other staff members including John Westbrook and Lynda Bardis, to discuss CVPC business and pending issues.

No report given during this section of the meeting.

Report of the Vice Chair

Delegate Murphy reported that there was a BAC meeting on May 13th, 2005, via conference call. There was no BAC positions or decisions presented at this meeting. However, there were some opinions shared regarding the pending legislation surrounding the roadside rest areas and how it could potentially affect blind vendors and the priority status of the BEP program.

Report of the Secretary-Treasurer

Delegate Patche reported that he would continue to resolve the CVPC account situation and meet with the previous Secretary-Treasurer, Alice Johnson at the end of May. The Committee agreed that there needs to be an authorized signer on the account who lives in the local Sacramento area. The account balance is approximately \$7,000.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

No meeting was schedule with Director Campisi due to the CVPC meeting change. No report given.

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY'S REPORT— Janis Friesen

Janis Friesen reported on the following items:

- **BAC Meeting.** The next BAC meeting is scheduled for July 19th from 9:30 – 3:30. Delegate Barnes volunteered to represent the CVPC at this meeting. The meeting is open to all and can be attended via conference call.
- **Fast Food Tax.** This new additional tax on fast food has already started in the City of Detroit and is gaining much attention in other cities throughout the U.S. All food items in a fast food restaurant will be subject to an additional 2% tax. It is an effort to raise more revenue for the city which is experiencing financial and economic difficulties. Mrs. Friesen will continue to keep abreast of this growing trend.

-
- **Travel Claims.** Mrs. Friesen reported that all travel claims will need to be submitted before June 3rd because the accounting department will be closing the books for the 2004-2005 fiscal year.

 - **Bylaws.** Mrs. Friesen was concerned about the existing CVPC Bylaws, which closely follows the Robert Rules of Order. There are certain situations that are not being addressed by the Bylaws. In the near future, she recommended that the CVPC consider reviewing some sections of the Bylaws and perhaps add additional definitions and procedures to address specific situations that may arise later such as Special Elections, Teleconferencing, Special Meetings, etc.

Chair Rompal agreed and the issue will be reviewed in detail by the Standard and Procedures committee currently chaired by both Chair Rompal and Delegate Murphy.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

GRIEVANCE—Delegate Kishida

A. Report on Pending Grievances

On behalf of Delegate Kishida, Ivor Horsfall reported that there have been no new grievances since the last CVPC meeting.

John Westbrook reported that there was recent filing of a grievance on behalf of several vendors. The vendors involved were primarily concerned that the DOR had not taken a position regarding the pending SB 468 legislation. This legislation if passed, could dramatically affect a vendor's business and livelihood. Mr. Westbrook remarked that the DOR wanted to delay their response depending on the outcome of this CVPC meeting and what the CVPC's position would be.

The process and procedure of how the DOR takes a position **for or against** state legislation was explained later in the meeting.

BUDGET AND FINANCE—Delegate Patche

A. Status report of proposed new fee schedule

B. Recommendations from committee on BEP Budget information

Because of this special meeting, Delegate Patche was not able to conduct his regular subcommittee meeting. No report given during this section of the meeting.

Mr. Westbrook remarked that it is still too early to see if the new fee schedule has had an impact on the set-aside fund. The revised fee schedule was implemented in March 2005.

STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES—Chair Rompal and Delegate Murphy

Chair Rompal and Delegate Murphy will conduct a subcommittee meeting to discuss the following items in detail and give a full report at the next CVPC meeting.

A. Review of election procedures

No report given.

B. Status of BEP Regulations

Chair Rompal reported that the BEP Regulations are still pending review by the legal department. Some delegates expressed their views openly about the regulations. Many hours have been devoted to completing the regulations and it has been an ongoing issue for many years. A major concern is that the program is operating under regulations that are outdated, thus leaving the DOR and BEP program unprotected with an enormous liability. The program continues to evolve, grow and improve and the regulations have to reflect these changes especially to address special situations that may arise in the future.

Mr. Westbrook remarked that the legal department is seriously understaffed and all projects are given priorities. A major view of the legal department is that the existing

regulations have already been approved and is currently working with no serious (or potential) problems so far.

Action Item: Two sections of the regulations have been assigned. Mr. Westbrook will check the status and report with more details at the next CVPC meeting.

C. Recommendation for determining which CVPC District multi site facilities are represented by.

No report given.

D. Redistricting

Currently, all districts are in full compliance to the Bylaws. The Bylaws state specifically that the designated districts should have no more than 7 locations/facilities difference between them.

There are currently 170 facilities (123 Primary, 6 Secondary, and 41 Interim facilities). To date, there were no more than 5 locations difference between the districts. Janis Friesen will continue to keep CVPC abreast of the redistricting issue and make any recommendations accordingly.

TRAINING/UPWARD MOBILITY—Delegate Murphy

Delegate Murphy reported that all students currently in the training program would be graduating. The graduation date is May 26th at 9:00 am. This is the second class to graduate under the new training curriculum. Two students have already been placed at interim locations. This summer, the BEP training will be accepting applications and interviewing candidates for the upcoming class expected to begin the Fall of 2005.

VENDOR BENEFITS AND REVENUE ENHANCEMENTS—

Delegate Barnes

Delegate Barnes has had some difficulty contacting the new person handling vendor benefits and insurances. Mr. Westbrook volunteered to help Delegate Barnes in contacting Ms. Sanchez. Delegate Barnes will give more details and a status report on the issues below at the next CVPC meeting.

A. Recommendation on Liability Insurance for Vendor initiated contracts

B. Change in deductible fund for BEP Property & Crime Insurance

No report given.

QUALITY LOCATION DEVELOPMENT—Delegate Rompal

No report given during this section of the meeting.

A. Status Report on SRRA

1. Priorities 1, 2 & 3

The status of the roadside rest areas was discussed at length under the Legislation section of the meeting.

B. Status report on federal prison facilities

C. Status report on California Youth Authority facilities

Elizabeth Garcia – Vending Machine Contracts

Mrs. Elizabeth Garcia gave a brief historical background on the Contracts Department and on how the number of vending machine contracts and the commissions earned have increased dramatically since 1995. It was a positive impact on the retirement fund for vendors. The increased commissions have come mostly from the state prisons.

Mrs. Garcia mentioned that roughly 92% of the vending machines contracts that her department manages generate under \$3,000 in revenue a year; thus these smaller income-generating contracts are contracted out to private vendors and individuals.

Chair Rompal explained why the University system is exempt from the BEP program. Mrs. Garcia added that any non-profit, incorporated, employee-operated facility incorporated prior 1977 are also exempt from the program. Some examples are state hospitals and developmental centers that fall under this criterion. There are only about 5 facilities still in existence.

State parks are considered concession facilities and the vending machines are operated by individual businesses. The commissions

generated from state parks, state universities and colleges return back to the state budget.

Mrs. Garcia found that there is no direct correlation between the number of vendors and the amount of the vendors' retirement fund. 25 states currently not sponsor a retirement fund for vendors.

The DOR is currently working with Homeland Security in an effort to provide services in airports and TSA facilities. Chair Rompal explained that members of BEP did a walk-through of some airport facilities but soon realized that the vending machine operations would only be located in the TSA employee break rooms. It would not be all the vending machines throughout the airport. The DOR is still working with airports in Ontario, San Diego and Palm Springs for future BEP opportunities.

The contract language has been revised to enable the DOR to audit contracts to ensure commissions are accurate and are being paid. The DOR have established the legal right to audit contracts up to 3 years after the contract has terminated. Vendors must also provide monthly sales reports to the DOR.

The Contract Department does try to combine locations to make vending machine locations financially viable and attractive for private sector companies and/or individuals to bid. There is a stringent auditing, interview and survey process in place to ensure all commissions are collected. There is a wide range of what the DOR collects in commissions; the range varies from 4% to 38% of gross revenues. Each contract is different.

LEGISLATION—Delegate Rompal

Recommended Action – Committee position to be determined

A. SB 522 – State property: vending machines

Chair Rompal gave some historical background on SB 522. The proposed SB 74 has been revised and reintroduced as the new SB 522 legislation authored by Senator Torlakson. (See Attachment 1 – SB 522). There have been two senate hearings on this bill so far.

SB 522 “would require each vendor that operates or maintains a vending machine on designated state property to satisfy the requirement that at least 50% of the food and beverages offered in the vending machines meets accepted nutrition guidelines, as defined, and to provide to users, upon request, information about the nutritional of food and beverages offered in the vending machine and procedures for requesting a change in vending machine offerings.”

The bill targets BEP vending machines. The bill is currently gaining support in the legislature.

The CVPC has clearly stated their opposition to the bill. The CVPC has stated that “mandating” vendors to have a certain percentage of healthy food items in vending machines is wrong and will have a negative impact on a vendor’s business and livelihood. The bill fails to take into account consumer supply and demand. The CVPC fully supports the Obesity Initiative set forth by the Governor; however, the CVPC agrees that controlling the obesity epidemic should not be the sole responsibility of the blind vendors.

One vendor expressed his concern that based on experience, people normally do not buy the “healthier food” items from vending machines and there is a high potential for a vending machine operator to have increased “food spoilage” and lose money if this bill passes.

The United Grocer Association expressed their opposition because if this senate bill passes, their concern is that the State will also try to “mandate” grocers to have a certain percentage of their food inventory meet certain nutritional guidelines.

Although many opposing arguments were presented, it was clear that there was major support for this bill. Because this bill did not pass in time to be effective by January 1, 2005, Senator Torlakson has introduced it as an ***Urgency Bill***; if the bill receives a majority vote in both the senate and assembly, it will take effect immediately, with no phase-in

period. It was approved in the Senate with **6 “Yes” votes vs. 3 “No” votes**. The next step is that the bill will go to the floor assembly for open discussion and votes. This is the largest opportunity to delay or stop the bill.

There is a nutritional criterion to which these healthier food products will have to meet. However, listings of actual food products or brands that meet the nutritional guidelines have not been provided. It was noted that diet drinks do not meet the nutritional guidelines. The vendor is left to interpret what product is acceptable and that meets the nutritional guidelines as defined.

If a vendor does not comply with this bill and any subsequent warnings, they can potentially lose their permit and license.

Action Item: The CVPC will send out a letter to all BEP vendors urging vendors to contact their local state legislator via phone or letter and voice their opinion. The CVPC delegates agreed to follow-up with their constituency to make sure they have received the CVPC letter. It was also recommended that a letter be sent to the individual members of the subcommittee that will be reviewing the actual senate bill.

MOTION 2005.028. Moved that a CVPC is in opposition to Senate Bill 522.

Moved: Delegate Murphy
Seconded: Delegate Patche
Vote: Passed Unanimously

*** As of 5/26/05, SB 522 has been ***“placed on inactive file on request of Senator Torlakson.”***

B. SB 468 – State highways: safety roadside rest areas.

Mrs. Friesen read SB 468 (***See Attachment 2 – SB 468***).

Currently, the program has approximately 9 roadside rest areas. Chair Rompal gave some historical background regarding SB 468. The main goal is to privatize the roadside rest areas, remodel them and place retail/commercial enterprises (such as a Starbuck's, Togo's, etc.). Because of the priority status of the BEP program, vending machines will remain on the property.

Delegate Hanlon expressed his concern about the possibility of the legislation violating the Randolph-Sheppard Act, which protects the program and the vendors' priority status. Many vendors share the same sentiment and are concerned that if this new legislation passes, it can violate the vendor's priority status in other areas such as in prisons, state buildings, cafeterias, etc. Vendors believe that privatization of the roadside rest areas will be detrimental to the livelihood of the vendors, including the local, surrounding small businesses; vending machines and other small businesses would not be able to compete with the retail establishments. There is no mention of the Randolph-Sheppard Act, the BEP program nor the vendors' priority status in the proposed legislation.

Delegate Martin-Hanlon shared the same views and also added that she was surprised that the DOR has not made a decision or statement in opposition or support of the legislation.

Another vendor believes that this is another strategy by private corporations to gain stated-owned real estate for free and repackage it to taxpayers. He also mentioned that these corporations offer no guarantees that there will be a positive cash flow to the state nor that these venture will be successful. There is no clause in the legislation to protect taxpayers investments in these privatization ventures. Who will be held accountable if this fails? Who will be responsible for the loss of revenue to the state if that occurs?

After the April 8th meeting with ITF Corporation and Senator Campbell's aide, some vendors did not believe this venture

was a win-win situation for the vendors and was more a strategic plan to control state land/property without violating federal protections for the vendors. Some did not leave the meeting in confidence about this proposed legislation. There are no guarantees embedded in this legislation that will protect taxpayers dollars for this renovation project.

MOTION 2005.029. Moved that the California Vendors Policy Committee vote against SB 468 on the ground of protecting our Randolph Sheppard and Welfare & Intuitions Code regarding roadside rest areas

Moved: Delegate Hanlon
Seconded: Delegate Barnes
Vote: Passed Unanimously

MOTION 2005.029. Re-read with Friendly Amendment. Moved that the California Vendors Policy Committee vote against SB 468 on the ground of protecting our Randolph Sheppard and Welfare & Intuitions Code regarding roadside rest areas.

1. BEP is not specially protected and given priority as SB 468 is written.
 2. Existing vendors are not omitted from being forced to participate in this pilot program.
 3. CVPC has concerns that the Association of Retarded Citizens workers that may be displaced because of SB 468.
 4. Because there has been no accounting for how Cal Trans is spending appropriated funds earmarked for Safety Roadside Rest Areas.
-

Delegate Hanlon would like to see some strong support in opposition to this bill. Delegate Murphy added that this “pilot program” begins with only 15 roadside rest areas. The program can accumulate more if necessary, eventually to all 88 roadside rest areas. This would be detrimental to the program’s priority status. The Senator’s office stated they would write “protections” into the legislation protecting the interests of the vendors but that language was absent causing many vendors to question the motives behind the project.

Shelby Cecchetti, Assistant Director of the External Affairs/Legislation Division reported that the DOR is reviewing all the pending legislation that may potentially affect the BEP program. She briefly explained the process on how the DOR would take any position of proposed legislation. The DOR does not take positions on legislation but rather propose recommendations to the Governor. These recommendations are based on analyses of all arguments presented for or against certain legislation. All recommendations and analyses are reviewed by the Health and Human Department Agency.

She was appreciative that she was able to attend this meeting because she was able to hear the actual arguments in opposition to this bill and gather other viewpoints for her analysis of the legislation. She is scheduled to present her recommendations on the behalf of the DOR in the near future. She commented that this Administration is open and sensitive to the needs of businesses and entrepreneurial ventures and the DOR has taken that viewpoint when presenting its recommendations on pieces of legislation that threatens the program and BEP's priority status.

Some delegates commented that they would like to see the DOR show stronger support and representation for the vendors on these types of issues. The CVPC has tried numerous times to retain legal council to represent and advise the committee on these matters that deeply affect vendors and their businesses.

Dan Kysor, an advocate for the California Council for the Blind, gave a brief synopsis on the status of the legislation. The legislation is being stalled because there is a large concern in the Transportation Committee regarding this private venture deal which in the past have cost the state more money because the businesses involved often "do not follow through". They have historical data on these private-public partnerships that committee will take into consideration.

Janis Friesen read the opposition letter written by Dan Kysor (***See Attachment 3 – Opposition Letter***), which highly supports the interests and priority status of the BEP program. He stated in the letter that the program has provided training and self-employment opportunities to blind persons, in a community where the unemployment rate can run as high as 70%. This legislation without any apparent protection for the blind vendors can potentially threaten the BEP program and future opportunities for blind persons and vendors.

Mr. Kysor advised that the CVPC has two options in handling this bill. The CVPC can oppose the entire bill and try to stall it for up to two years. The other option is try to negotiate a business partnership with some protections. The “teaming partnership” idea will still protect the vendor and at the same time provides a business opportunity; there must be an interagency agreement between Cal Trans and the DOR written into the legislation.

There was discussion regarding a recent 1st Circuit Court ruling on roadside rest areas located on the interstate (federal) highway. The ruling prohibits the state from privatizing roadside rest areas on state roads. Currently, there are only three (3) roadside rest areas in the BEP program on state property. This ruling may work in the CVPC’s favor.

Vendors were questioning if this privatization project of roadside rest areas was legal and how are these private companies able to circumvent the Randolph-Sheppard Act, which protects the priority status of the program. Shelby Cecchettini commented that there is some legalize language that is embedded in the Federal Transportation Bill – S732. Ms. Cecchettini remarked that there are approximately three sentences in S732 that alludes to public-private joint development projects; Senator Campbell is using this section of S732 to support his viewpoints and as the justification for privatizing the roadside rest areas.

Mrs. Friesen read a section of the federal bill S732 - Public Private Pilot Program which states: “The Secretary may undertake a pilot program to demonstrate the advantages of public private partnerships for critical capital development projects including highway, bridge, freight, intermodal connector projects authorized under this title...”

The Secretary “can use funds made available to carry out the program, to provide sponsors of the project assistance for development phase activities...to enhance project delivery and to reduce overall costs.”

Ms. Cecchetti added that S732 has been revised and that the three sections of the federal bill (1) Privatizing of the Roadside Rest areas, (2) the Oasis Project, and (3) Public-Private Joint Developments, was not included in the bill currently pending in the House.

Because of the urgency of the situation and the need to distribute the CVPC opposition statement to the vendors, Mrs. Friesen will coordinate the letter campaign and have it completed and sent out to vendors by the following week.

As of 5/31/05, SB 468 has been “**placed on inactive file on request of Senator Campbell**”.

PUBLIC RELATIONS AND CONVENTION—Delegate Hanlon

A. Status report on 2005 CVPC Educational Conference

Delegate Hanlon reported that although the 2005 CVPC Educational Conference was cancelled, there have been some recent developments. Doug Sale confirmed that the CVPC could possibly utilize an event coordinator. Mrs. Friesen will continue to research how to subcontract this activity and handle the details regarding the scope of work. Delegate Hanlon added that all expenses would be paid utilizing the set aside funds, expense includes hotel accommodations, travel, meals, etc.

Once all the details have been firmly approved and there has been a selected event coordinator, the educational conference could occur sometime next year 2006.

Chair Rompal gave a brief historical background about the educational conference, its intentions, and the reasons behind why it was postponed this year. He is looking forward to having some type of conference for vendors next year and appreciates the DOR's full support for the event.

B. Status report on CVPC web site

Delegate Hanlon reported that the Benevolence Fund and the CVPC web site have been placed on hold until the CVPC account situation is settled. The funds from the CVPC account will need to support these activities.

Delegate Patche added that he is researching the possibility of applying or filing for a non-for-profit status on behalf of the CVPC. One benefit is that a group or organization that has the non-for-profit status do not have to pay additional taxes on bank account earnings. This filing could also be helpful in coordinating the educational convention.

DEPARTMENT REPORTS

Financial Report

Legislation/Regulations Report

The financial report is detailed in the Program Manager's newsletter that is distributed to all vendors.

Program Manager's Report

Personal and Staffing Issues.

John Westbrook reported that there is a lead clerical, Jenny Garcia, now located at the DOR and she works very well with vendors. She is customer service oriented and is please to be located in Sacramento.

The open position in Los Angeles is being advertised. Dennis Scott is the new architect for the BEP program. He is already working on

several projects. He comes to position with a wealth of information and experience.

The DOR is actively searching to hire someone in the Contracts Department to assist Elizabeth Garcia.

Stephen Miller is the new Assistant Program Manager. He has replaced Jean Walker who received a promotion as a manager at CalPers. Mr. Miller expressed his gratitude and is excited to be in his new role. He thanked vendors for their support.

He reassured the CVPC that although the budget is very limited, meeting vendor's needs and equipment replacement will always be a priority and there are sufficient funds for these endeavors until the end of the fiscal year – July 1st. There may need to be a change to the criteria in which equipment is replaced. The primary goal is to manage the cash flow carefully.

Pending Projects:

The BEP program is currently working on the following projects and development of the locations:

- Fresno City Hall
- Federal Court
- IRS building
- Spawars – Point Loma
- Salinas – Monterey Courthouse in Salinas
- Police Administration Building
- Mission Valley
- Cal Trans building – approximately 800-900 people

There was expressed concern regarding the selection committee for the State Prison for Men in Chino, California. Vendors have expressed the need to have a neutral third party on the selection committee to ensure fairness. Jeff Garcia is scheduled to attend this selection committee but because of medical reasons he may not be able to attend.

Delegate Hanlon volunteered to attend the selection committee meeting in San Diego. Chair Rompal could also be in attendance. Panel members have been selected.

MOTION 2005.027. Moved that in the event that the Selection Coordinator, Jeff Garcia isn't available due to illness, that the Program Manager, John Westbrook will replace him as Selection Coordinator for selection committees.

Moved: Delegate Martin-Hanlon
Seconded: Delegate Patche
Vote: Passed Unanimously

DISTRICT REPORTS

District 1 – No report. Delegate Kishida was absent for the meeting.

District 2 – No report.

District 3 – Delegate Patche contacted several vendors to discuss the pending bills.

District 4 – No report.

District 5 – No report.

District 6 – No report. Delegate Martin-Hanlon expressed the concerns of the vendors in her district about the DOR's position and support on the pending bills.

District 7 – No report.

District 8 – No report.

District 9 – No report. Absent for this meeting.

District 10 – Delegate Hanlon appreciated everyone's input and discussion on SB 468.

NEW BUSINESS

No discussion.

OPEN FORUM

Dan Kysor reported that Senator Elaine Alquist has stated her opposition to SB 468. He gave the CVPC and guests her contact information and when they have an opportunity to share their appreciation of her opposing the bill.

Senator Elaine Alquist
Contact person: Amy Stromberg
Ph: 916-445-9740
Fax 916-320-0283

Dan Kysor announced his legislative report where vendors can obtain updated information on pending senate bills. Vendors can call 800-221-6359 to get weekly reports.

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Rompal commented that the next meeting will be scheduled during the first week of August 2005.

MOTION 2005.030. Moved to adjourn at 2:00 p.m.

Moved: Delegate Patche
Seconded: Delegate Barnes
Vote: Passed Unanimously

ATTACHMENT 1

Senate Bill (SB) 522

Vending Machines on State Property 50% of products meeting nutritional guidelines

ATTACHMENT 2

Senate Bill (SB) 468

Privatization of Roadside Rest Areas

ATTACHMENT 3

Opposition Letter to SB 468 ***Written by Dan Kysor***
